



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## LOCALITY, DATE, AND NAME WITH OBSERVATIONS

To the Editor, Journal of Mammalogy:

Your Journal is certainly a godsend in affording us restless ones a place to post our views and to learn those of others. You have been generous to me in giving me so much space for my observations, will you allow me a few lines to state some grievances, or at least to point out some wrong methods that have caused a great deal of unnecessary annoyance and loss.

1st. Observations given without place or date. I have before me a note on three Mink by a leading naturalist, but no date. If it was spring, it was highly significant—if it was autumn, it meant nothing.

2nd. Dating with numbers for months. Some use the logical sequence—day, month, year. Some, alas! including our Post Office officials use it "month, day, year." I have before me a specimen from a leading museum with the field label on it marked 8/4/98 and the museum label 4/8/98. Which is it? Can't we use Roman numerals for the month, or the established abbreviations, and end such muddles?

3rd. I have before me an adventure with a Lynx, by "Mr." Mackenzie of Labrador. If it was Peter Mackenzie, it is valuable. If any of the 75 other Mackenzies it is interesting, but doubtful. If by Nicodemus or Ananias Mackenzie, it is waste paper. I would never use "Mr." in such connection. It generally means that the recorder did not think it worth following up. Of course, "Dr." or "Miss" or "Mrs." are all right for they have identification force, but not "Mr."

If Sir John Richardson had talked of "Thomas Hutchins" instead of "Mr. Hutchins," it would have saved a world of trouble and prevented the injustice that robbed Hutchins of the credit of his great work.

4th. Citation, without mention of source. I have before me some observations on the jump of a Mexican Squirrel, quoted, without mention of source, by a modern writer. If the source was one well known Mexican traveller it is of the highest value, if by another that I have in mind, it should be scrapped.

Yours sincerely,

*Ernest Thompson Seton.*

Greenwich, Conn.,  
20 December, 1919.